## Exhibit LL

Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAPAG-LLOYD AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, Plaintiff, Case No. -against-14-cv-9949 (VEC) U.S. OIL TRADING LLC, O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER & TRADING A/S, ING BANK N.V., CREDIT AGRICOLE S.A., Defendants. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----X HAPAG-LLOYD AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, Plaintiff, Case No. -against-14-cv-10027 (VEC) O'ROURKE MARINE SERVICES, L.P., L.L.P., O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER USA, INC., ING BANK N.V., Defendants. January 19, 2016 10:05 a.m. DEPOSITION of RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS NORBERT KOCK

	Page 2		Page 4
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	APPEARANCES:
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	2	AFFEARANCES.
	U.S. OIL TRADING LLC,	3	Attorneys for U.S. Oil Trading LLC:
3 4	Plaintiff, Case No.	4	CLYDE & CO. US LLP
5	-against- 15-cv-6718 (VEC)	5	405 Lexington Avenue
6	M/V VIENNA EXPRESS, her tackle,	6	New York, New York 10174
	boilers, apparel, furniture, engines, appurtenances, etc.,	7	BY: CASEY BURLAGE, ESQ.
7	in rem: M/V SOFIA EXPRESS, her tackle, boilers, apparel, furniture,	8	AND: JOHN KEOUGH, ESQ.
8	engines, appurtenances, etc., in rem, Defendants.	9	
9	X	10	Attorneys for O.W. Bunker Germany GMBH:
10	HAPAG-LLOYD AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, as Claimant to the M/V VIENNA EXPRESS,	11	HILL RIVKINS LLP
11	Counter-Claimant and	12	45 Broadway, Suite 1500
12	Third-Party Plaintiff,	13	New York, New York 10006-3739
13	- against -	14	BY: JUSTIN M. HEILIG, ESQ.
14	U.S. OIL TRADING LLC,	15	
	Counter-Defendant and	16	Attorneys for O'Rourke Marine Services L.P.:
15	O.W. BUNKER GERMANY GMBH, O.W. BUNKER	17	SIMMS SHOWERS LLP
16	& TRADING A/S, ING BANK N.V., and CREDIT AGRICOLE CORPORATE AND INVESTMENT BANK	18	201 International Circle, Suite 250
17	a division or arm of CREDIT AGRICOLE S.A.,	19 20	Hunt Valley, Maryland 21030
18	Third-Party Defendant.	21	BY: CASEY L. BRYANT, ESQ. (Appearing Telephonically)
19 20	Deposition of Rule 30(b)(6) Witness,	22	(Appearing Telephonicany)
21	NORBERT KOCK, pursuant to Notice, held at the	23	ALSO PRESENT:
22 23	offices of Freehill Hogan & Mahar LLP, 80 Pine Street, New York, New York, before Roberta	24	Andrew Rona, The Interpreter
24 25	Caiola, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York.	25	Third w Rolla, The interpreter
	Page 3		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES:	1	INDEX
2	MII EMMINOLS.	2	Witness Examination By Page
3	Attorneys for Defendant ING Bank N.V.,	3	Norbert Kock Mr. Maloney 12
4	as Security Agent:	4	Mr. Heilig 120
5	SEWARD & KISSEL LLP	5	Mr. Keough 165
6	One Battery Park Plaza	6	Ms. Bryant 216
7	New York, New York 10004	7	Mr. Maloney 220
8	BY: BRIAN P. MALONEY, ESQ.	8	
9	AND: MICHAEL W. BROZ, ESQ.	9	EXHIBITS
10		10	Kock Description Page
11		11	Exhibit 1 Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) 12
12	Attorneys for Hapag-Lloyd Aktiengesellschaft:		Deposition CP 1 2001 (C) 12
13	FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP	13	Exhibit 2 Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) 12
14	80 Pine Street	14	Deposition
15 16	New York, New York 10005 BY: MICHAEL FERNANDEZ, ESQ.	15 16	Exhibit 3 Document Bates stamped USOT 24 000101 through USOT 107
17	AND: MICHAEL PERNANDEZ, ESQ.	17	Exhibit 4 Document Bates stamped 41
18	AND. MICHAEL DEHART, ESQ.	18	HPL-USOT page 131
19		19	Exhibit 5 Document Bates stamped 43
20		20	HPL-USOT 135 and HPL-USOT 136
21		21	Exhibit 6 Document Bates stamped 49
22		22	HPL-USOT 137 and HPL-USOT 138
23		23	Exhibit 7 Document Bates stamped 50
24		24	HPL-USOT 139 and HPL-USOT 140
25		25	

	Page 70		Page 72
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	attachment refer to?	2	dispute about the quantity or the quality of the
3	A. This is a payment advice that	3	fuel listed on the bunker delivery note, who
4	Hapag-Lloyd is going to pay the different	4	would Hapag-Lloyd deal with as to that dispute?
5	amounts for the different stamps to O.W. Bunker	5	A. The responsible purchaser.
6	Germany.	6	Q. So is that O.W. Bunker Germany?
7	Q. Payment advice, is that what	7	MR. FERNANDEZ: Could you reframe
8	Zahlungsbeleg refers to?	8	the question please.
9	A. Yes.	9	MR. MALONEY: Sure.
10	MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my	10	Q. So once the bunker purchasing
11	objection. You marked Exhibit 14 which is	11	department receives a bunker delivery note, they
12	numbered 170 through 173, I think that may have	12	check the quantity and quality against the
13	been marked in error. You have 170 and 171 seem	13	original purchase order placed with the seller,
14	to be standalone documents. Then 172 and 173 I	14	is that fair?
15	don't believe are affixed to the bunker delivery	15	A. Yes.
16	note. You can certainly ask the witness that,	16	Q. And if there were any disputes
17	but please note my objection to the way this	17	would Hapag-Lloyd go to its seller to resolve
18	exhibit has been marked.	18	those?
19	MR. MALONEY: So noted. I agree	19	A. Yes.
20	with your characterization, Mr. Fernandez.	20	Q. In this case that would be O.W.
21	Q. So is it the case, Mr. Kock, that	21	Bunker Germany?
22	the bunker delivery note at page 171 is the	22	A. Yes.
23	attachment to page 170?	23	Q. Do you know if there were any such
24	A. Yes.	24	disputes about this particular transaction?
25	Q. And then the next pages 172 and 173	25	A. I can't remember. I don't think
	Page 71		- 50
	raye /i		Page 73
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Page 73 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2	-	1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect. Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect. Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document? A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect. Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect. Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect. Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect. Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)  Q. Just to clear up the record. How	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 1 15 16 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)  Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)  Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)  Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)  Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14?  A. The quantity stated on the bunker	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did THE INTERPRETER: On advisement of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)  Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14?  A. The quantity stated on the bunker delivery note, the metric tons, will be booked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did  THE INTERPRETER: On advisement of the correctness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)  Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14?  A. The quantity stated on the bunker delivery note, the metric tons, will be booked as a stop receipt into our SAP system against	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did  THE INTERPRETER: On advisement of the correctness. A. Of the supplies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) refer to a separate document?  A. Yes. So this payment advice is normally not going through our department. It's done by our accounting department, and our accounting department is sending it out to the different vendors they are paying.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Are we able to break these apart so the record is clear and mark the two pages 14?  MR. MALONEY: I'm happy to mark pages 172 and 173 as Exhibit 15.  MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.  (Kock Exhibit 15, Document Bates stamped HPL-USOT 172 and HPL-USOT 173, marked for identification.)  Q. Just to clear up the record. How does the bunker purchasing department at Hapag-Lloyd use the bunker delivery note that was communicated to it here in Exhibit 14?  A. The quantity stated on the bunker delivery note, the metric tons, will be booked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) there was a dispute here in this respect.  Q. Now turning to Exhibit 15 which is Bates labeled HPL-USOT 172 to 173. It appears there are seven separate fuel transactions with different vessels, is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. One of those vessels is the SANTA ROBERTA? A. Yes. Q. This document reflects payment made to O.W. Germany on the SANTA ROBERTA and other transactions? A. Yes. Q. Would you mind translating for the record what the German text reads after "ladies and gentlemen"? A. This is separate there is a separate payment of the below mentioned items. We did  THE INTERPRETER: On advisement of the correctness.

	Page 78		Page 80
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	department.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Does RQMT stand for requirement?	3	Q. Page 94 is a separate document?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What is TIW in the subject line?	5	Q. What does the heading mean on page
6	A. This is the abbreviation of the	6	94?
7	port.	7	A. This is a price comparence (sic)
8	Q. Tacoma Washington?	8	showing
9	A. This is a I think this is a	9	Q. Is it a price comparison?
10	code. This is a UN code no, this is not a UN	10	A. The meaning here is
11	code. For me this is a self-created	11	Preisvereinbarungen.
12	abbreviation from the vessel.	12	THE INTERPRETER: Agreement.
13	Q. Is there any physical supplier	13	A. Which means agreement.
14	specified in this email or its attachment?	14	Q. It says for HFO and MDO?
15	A. No.	15	A. Yes.
16	(Kock Exhibit 19, Document Bates	16	Q. What is HFO and MDO?
17	stamped HPL-USOT 92 through HPL-USOT 94, market		A. HFO is heavy fuel, a heavy fuel
18	for identification.)	18	oil, and MDO means marine distillate oil.
19	Q. We've marked as Exhibit 192 emails	19	*
20	and attachments that have been Bates labeled	20	Q. This chart refers to the vessel, the SEASPAN HAMBURG?
21	HPL-USOT 92, 93 and 94, and it appears that	21	
22	there are two emails and then a document behind	22	
23	that; is that fair to say?	23	Q. Who fills out a chart like this?
24	A. There's also some hiccup here I	24	A. The responsible purchaser.
25	see, because the covering page is referring to		Q. This appears to be a document
23	****	25	filled out by Karl Heinz Selmer, is that
	Page 79		Page 81
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	an inquiry Mr. Lukas Gaus placed into the market	2	correct?
3	for this vessel calling for Tacoma, Oakland and	3	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
4	Los Angeles in a row, and behind there is	4	A. This document has been filled out
5	communication between Karl Heinz Selmer and O.W		by the responsible purchaser.
6	Bunker and Lukas Gaus about the typical	6	Q. Who is the responsible purchaser?
7	specifications of the ordered product, and	7	A. At that time it looks like Lukas
8	another attachment referring to our price	8	Gaus was working on this vessel here, and he's
9	comparison we are doing.	9	using this piece of paper here to compare all
10	So the first page here has nothing	10	the incoming orders to evaluate which offer is
11	to do with the attachments and behind. I would	11	best, most favorable for Hapag-Lloyd.
12	have expected here a copy of the inquiry from	12	So I call it price comparence sheet
13	Mr. Lukas Gaus, like we had it for the previous	13	because it's not an agreement. After we find an
14	vessel.	14	agreement here and it states with whom Mr. Gaus
15	Q. Noted.	15	was making this agreement here, with O.W. Bunker
16	MR. FERNANDEZ: The top page, is	16	Germany and Karl Heinz Selmer.
17	that what you're referring to?	17	Q. In the lower right, does that refer
18	A. This is the top page for our	18	to the price that was agreed with O.W. Germany?
19	inquiry.	19	A. In the lower right, the
20	Q. Page 92 refers to the inquiry that	20	1.5 million?
21	was sent into the marketplace by Hapag-Lloyd,	21	Q. Yes.
22	correct?	22	A. This is the total, the total U.S.
23	A. Yes.	23	dollar order amount based on the order quantity
24	Q. Then page 93, is that a response from O.W. Bunker Germany?	24 25	multiplied with the price O.W. Bunker Germany gave us.

	Page 82		Page 84
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. Do you know why O.W. Bunker is	2	offer. You can see it on the weighted U.S.
3	listed twice over there on the left, in the	3	dollar amount.
4	first column entitled "Anbieter"?	4	Q. Do you know what is GEFO in the
5	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	5	first column of the persons who offered?
6	A. Because they offered twice. They	6	A. GEFO is a Hamburg-based trader, who
7	offered us \$520 in Oakland and they offered us	7	is also working based on our terms and
8	\$523 in Tacoma. Although it looks like the \$523	8	conditions. As any other parties here mentioned
9	is more expensive than the other ones, we picked	9	as well.
10	it because it was representing the highest	10	Q. And Peninsula refers to Peninsula
11	energy contents.	11	Petroleum?
12	So for us it lowers energy costs,	12	A. Yes.
	-	13	
13	and also a very good ignition product, the CCAI		Q. This document is dated October 10,
14	value gives you some kind of knowledge about the	14	2014, is that correct?
15	ignition quality of the offered fuel oil, and	15	A. This was the date of the fixing
16	825 is very good.	16	here, right, October 10th.
17	Q. So because the fuel had a higher	17	(Kock Exhibit 20, Document Bates
18	quality at a lower price O.W. Bunker got the	18	stamped HPL-USOT 95 through HPL-USOT 98, market
19	nomination?	19	for identification.)
20	A. Yes. You can see there's a column	20	MR. FERNANDEZ: Off the record.
21	here under "Bestellkombination," there is the	21	(Off-the-record discussion held.)
22	first column here.	22	Q. We've marked as Exhibit 20 a
23	MR. KEOUGH: You can say it in	23	document Bates labeled HPL-USOT 95 through 98.
24	English please.	24	Have you seen this document before, sir?
25	A. This is the total cost weighted on	25	A. Yes.
	Page 83		Page 85
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	energy contents.	2	Q. What is this document?
3	MR. KEOUGH: Which column are you	3	A. This is an order confirmation
4	referring to, sir?	4	coming from O.W. Bunker Germany to Hapag-Lloyd
5	THE INTERPRETER: The one before	5	confirming the bunker deal for the SEASPAN
6	last.	6	HAMBURG at Tacoma.
7	MR. KEOUGH: Mr. Interpreter, since	7	Q. Just like with the SANTA ROBERTA,
8	we haven't sworn you in yet	8	O.W. Bunker Germany is the seller?
9	MR. MALONEY: He has been sworn.	9	A. Yes.
10	MR. KEOUGH: Okay. Please go	10	Q. And Hapag-Lloyd AG is the buyer?
11	ahead, sir.	11	A. Yes.
12	THE INTERPRETER: The one before	12	Q. The same remarks "HALO GCT2007
13	last, it says IFO/MFO.	13	shall apply" are listed on page 96?
14	THE INTERPRETER: The total cost by	14	A. Yes.
15	weight/energy.	15	Q. Norton Lilly is being used as a
16	A. Sorry to correct you. It's not by	16	port agent?
17	weight, the energy is weighted in this cost	17	A. Right.
18	here.	18	Q. On page 95 Mr. Selmer writes to
19	THE INTERPRETER: Considered,	19	Mr. Gaus "Dear Lukas, thank you for your
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20	support."
20 21	listed weighted.	21	
	A. No, weighted, because we do an	22	Do you have an understanding of
22	energy calculation here. We have an Energlewert	23	what he means by that?
23	here also. This Energlewert will be weighted in		A. To receive the offer. Sorry, to
0.4			
24 25	the total cost. So this offer here, the second offer by O.W. was for us the most economic	24 25	receive the order.  (Kock Exhibit 21, Document Bates

1 2 3	Page 138		Page 140
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
	parties which could be an in between.	2	A. Yes.
	Q. Is it fair so say then that Hapag	3	Q. The 2006 version?
4	did not care what happened downstream of O.W.	4	A. Yes.
5	Germany in terms of dealing with subcontractors,	5	Q. And the version attached to
6	physical suppliers?	6	Exhibit 3?
7	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	7	A. This is the version which has been
8	A. That's not our business.	8	negotiated with O.W. Bunker during the process
9	Q. If I understand correctly from what	9	of negotiating the contract in Rotterdam and
10	you said a few minutes ago, O.W. Germany's	10	Antwerp.
11	solicitation of business, as having the ability	11	Q. The ARA contract?
12	to serve as a one-stop shop, satisfied Hapag's	12	A. The ARA contract.
13	upper management that they could begin doing	13	Q. Which has no relevance to the
14	business with O.W. Germany in 2007, is that	14	transactions at issue here in these actions?
15	correct?	15	MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form.
16	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the	16	A. Right.
17	form. You can answer the question.	17	Q. So really the version attached to
18	A. Yes, it seems so.	18	Exhibit H 1 are the terms that apply to the
19	Q. So if I understand sort of as a	19	contracts between Hapag-Lloyd and O.W. Germany
20	synthesis of what you said already, Hapag-Lloyd	20	for these transactions?
21	never authorized or pointed O.W. Germany as an	21	A. Yeah.
22	agent to order fuel on Hapag's behalf, is that	22	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
23	correct?	23	A. This has been also confirmed by
24	MR. KEOUGH: Objection.	24	O.W
25	A. Never.	25	Q. It's signed and stamped by O.W.
	Page 139		Page 141
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd ever advise U.S.	2	Germany?
3	Oil or O'Rourke Marine that O.W. Germany was	3	A. Yeah, but it has been also
4	acting as an agent of Hapag-Lloyd?	4	confirmed by O.W. in their order.
	A. No.	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	11. 110.	. )	O In their sales order confirmations
5 6	O Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1		Q. In their sales order confirmations.  Even though Hanag's purchase order confirmations
6	Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit H 1 which is attached to your declaration toward the	6	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations
6 7	which is attached to your declaration toward the	6 7	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales
6 7 8	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at	6 7 8	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's
6 7 8 9	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is	6 7 8 9	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?
6 7 8 9 10	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want	6 7 8 9	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
6 7 8 9 10 11	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of	6 7 8 9 10 11	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.  Do you understand what the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.  Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  A. This is not our business.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.  Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions?  A. The first version here which was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  A. This is not our business.  Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.  Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions?  A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection. Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  A. This is not our business. Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W.  Germany to use certain physical suppliers at
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.  Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions?  A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were discussing earlier today, which has been always	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  A. This is not our business.  Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W.  Germany to use certain physical suppliers at various ports?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.  Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions?  A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were discussing earlier today, which has been always mentioned by O.W. as the terms and conditions of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  A. This is not our business.  Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W.  Germany to use certain physical suppliers at various ports?  A. No.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.  Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions?  A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were discussing earlier today, which has been always mentioned by O.W. as the terms and conditions of 2007.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  A. This is not our business.  Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W.  Germany to use certain physical suppliers at various ports?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which is attached to your declaration toward the back. I would also like you to take a look at Exhibit 3, the third page of Exhibit 3 which is Bates stamped USOT 000103 through 107. I want you to compare these two.  It seems like we have two sets of terms and conditions used by Hapag-Lloyd. The version attached to Exhibit 3 appears to be 3 pages long, and the version appearing at Exhibit H 1 of your declaration is 5 pages long.  Do you understand what the difference is between these two versions?  A. The first version here which was dated 2006, this is the version we were discussing earlier today, which has been always mentioned by O.W. as the terms and conditions of 2007.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Even though Hapag's purchase order confirmations refer to the latest edition, it's the sales order confirmation and O.W. Germany's identification of the 2006 version that apply?  A. Yes.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified earlier that Hapag did not control O.W. Germany's selection of a physical supplier or a subcontractor for the purchase of a bunker fuel that had been supplied to Hapag?  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.  A. This is not our business.  Q. So Hapag did not instruct O.W.  Germany to use certain physical suppliers at various ports?  A. No.  MR. KEOUGH: Objection.

	Page 158		Page 160
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	claim was valid, there would be an adjustment in	2	Germany's invoice to Hapag-Lloyd for the SANTA
3	price to O.W. Germany's invoice to Hapag-Lloyd?	3	ROBERTA transaction, correct?
4	A. That's right.	4	A. That's right.
5	Q. Irrespective of whether or not	5	Q. This one is not stamped because it
6	there would be a corresponding reduction or	6	has not yet been entered into Hapag's accounting
7	adjustment in price of the physical supplier's	7	system?
8	invoice to O.W.?	8	A. That's right.
9	A. We have no relation to the physical	9	Q. Looking earlier we looked at the
10	supplier. We are just dealing with O.W.	10	stamped version of the invoice?
11	Germany.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. This all stems from that issue in	12	Q. And it would have been entered in
		13	`
13	the '90s where you dealt with the broker who		the system?
14	simply washed his hands with the situation, and	14	A. This is depending on where the
15	left Hapag with the recourse?	15	copies are coming from. If the copies are
16	A. Yes.	16	coming out of our system and they had been
17	Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 14. I	17	booked into the system there is a stamp. In
18	believe this was an email from the vessel	18	this case here, this is communication from O.W.
19	attaching the bunker delivery note that was sent	19	Bunker to our bookkeeping department, and at
20	directly to Hapag?	20	that time the invoice has not been booked.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. The stamp is Hapag's stamp?
22	Q. Would Hapag have also received a	22	A. Yes.
23	copy of the bunker delivery note from O.W.	23	Q. Do you know whether Hapag would
24	Germany at some point?	24	have stamped the copy received by email or would
25	A. It could have been done at some	25	Hapag have waited for the original to arrive by
	Page 159		Page 161
1	Page 159 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Page 161 Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2		1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.		Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a	2 3	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier? A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233. The email on page 230 is in German	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233. The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233. The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233. The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice. Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233. The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15. Just remind me again what the German word
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.  Q. We looked earlier and saw that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA Tacoma on October 9, 2014. The original will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 n 17	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.  Q. We looked earlier and saw that the SANTA ROBERTA is identified on this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA Tacoma on October 9, 2014. The original will follow per courier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 n 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.  Q. We looked earlier and saw that the SANTA ROBERTA is identified on this document?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA Tacoma on October 9, 2014. The original will follow per courier.  Q. So Hapag would receive copies of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 n 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.  Q. We looked earlier and saw that the SANTA ROBERTA is identified on this document?  A. Yes.  Q. How would Hapag-Lloyd make payment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA Tacoma on October 9, 2014. The original will follow per courier.  Q. So Hapag would receive copies of the bunker delivery note and the invoice and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 nn 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.  Q. We looked earlier and saw that the SANTA ROBERTA is identified on this document?  A. Yes.  Q. How would Hapag-Lloyd make payment to O.W. Germany, physical payment; was it by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA Tacoma on October 9, 2014. The original will follow per courier.  Q. So Hapag would receive copies of the bunker delivery note and the invoice and the original by mail?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 n 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.  Q. We looked earlier and saw that the SANTA ROBERTA is identified on this document?  A. Yes.  Q. How would Hapag-Lloyd make payment to O.W. Germany, physical payment; was it by check or wire payment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA Tacoma on October 9, 2014. The original will follow per courier.  Q. So Hapag would receive copies of the bunker delivery note and the invoice and the original by mail?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 n 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.  Q. We looked earlier and saw that the SANTA ROBERTA is identified on this document?  A. Yes.  Q. How would Hapag-Lloyd make payment to O.W. Germany, physical payment; was it by check or wire payment?  A. Wire payment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) point together with the invoice.  Q. Okay. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked as Exhibit 51, Bates number OWG-9949-230 through 233.  The email on page 230 is in German so I will have to rely on you to translate, or our trusted translator. Can you describe this email for me?  A. Yeah. This is a message from Victoria Bohn who's an administrative worker at O.W. at that time addressing this email to Marion Sakowski, who is a manager in our accounting department saying hello Frau Sakowski or hello Mrs. Sakowski, attached you receive invoice and bunker delivery note for the bunkering of M/V, Motor Vessel SANTA ROBERTA Tacoma on October 9, 2014. The original will follow per courier.  Q. So Hapag would receive copies of the bunker delivery note and the invoice and the original by mail?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 n 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) courier?  A. At that time the accounting department was not allowed to process invoices coming by email. The local taxing authorities in German were demanding us just to process original invoices received and not copies.  Q. So it's fair to assume the stamped version we looked at earlier was the hard copy received by Hapag-Lloyd?  A. Yes.  Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 15.  Just remind me again what the German word translates to?  A. This is the payment notice that there is money in the pipeline.  Q. We looked earlier and saw that the SANTA ROBERTA is identified on this document?  A. Yes.  Q. How would Hapag-Lloyd make payment to O.W. Germany, physical payment; was it by check or wire payment?

Page 164 Page 162 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 2 2 Hapag evaluating the requisition from the made? 3 3 vessel, we have Hapag soliciting bids from A. Before. 4 So this is simply advising that 4 traders, physical suppliers, we have Hapag Q. 5 payment is on its way? 5 evaluating those bids and accepting one of them 6 6 A. Yeah, something is coming. and awarding the nomination to a physical 7 At the time this document was 7 supplier or a trader. O. generated had payment already been approved by 8 8 We have the exchange of Hapag's accounting department, or could payment 9 9 confirmations, we have the physical delivery of 10 have been stopped for any number of reasons? 10 fuel to the vessel, we have the issuance of a A. If this document is going out the 11 11 bunker delivery note and a survey report for 12 payment is in the pipeline. 12 quality and specifications, we have invoicing by 13 Q. Are there any other records, any 13 Hapag, excuse me, from the seller to Hapag, and other accounting records of the actual wire payment from Hapag to the seller. 14 14 transfer that Hapag maintains? Is that generally accurate in all 15 15 16 A. There could be. I'm not 16 respects for each of these transactions? 17 responsible for the accounting, but there should 17 MR. KEOUGH: Objection to the form. MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the 18 be records available. 18 19 Q. Are you satisfied that based on the 19 form 20 issuance of this document, Hapag-Lloyd paid O.W. 20 Not necessarily. The pre-invoice 21 Germany for the SANTA ROBERTA transaction? 21 of the vessel to the stowage center is normally A. Yeah, but take care, this is not not copied to us; but all other documents I 22 22 23 our business here, this is done by the 23 agree, this is the process. accounting department and we are not in copy of MR. HEILIG: With that I will pass 24 24 25 this document here, so we have no idea or no the witness, reserving any further questions for 25 Page 165 Page 163 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 2 information. This is just an email which was 2 the follow-up. 3 sent by our accounting department direct to O.W. 3 MR. KEOUGH: Let's take 10 minutes 4 at that time, without copy to our department. 4 or so. 5 Q. I understand it's not your 5 (Short recess taken.) 6 department, but you've been designated as 6 (Kock Exhibit 52, Notice of 7 Hapag's representative. My question is, does 7 Deposition, marked for identification.) 8 this document satisfy you that Hapag-Lloyd has 8 EXAMINATION BY MR. KEOUGH: made payment to O.W. Germany for the SANTA 9 9 O. Good afternoon, Mr. Kock. My name ROBERTA transaction, notwithstanding the filing 10 10 is John Keough, I'm an attorney with Clyde & 11 of insolvency proceedings? 11 Co.. With me is Casey Burlage of my office, and we represent U.S. Oil Trading in these actions. 12 A. Yeah. 12 Q. Do you know how this document would I'm going to ask you a few questions following 13 13 have been transmitted to O.W. Germany? on questions that were asked of you earlier. If 14 14 A. As I see the posted address here I 15 15 you don't understand my question please say so. would say in an envelope by post, by mail. 16 16 Um-hum. Q. So you don't know whether or not Q. And you need to speak your answer 17 17 18 this document would have also been emailed? 18 yes or no, okay? 19 No, I don't know. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. That seems to be a general rundown 20 Q. If you need a break at any time 21 of the bunkering process. Let me just recap and 21 please let us know, we'll do our best to accommodate you. If you don't understand any 22 see if you agree. 22 question please speak up and we'll try to make 23 23 We have the advance notice of a 24 it clear and understandable, otherwise anyone 24 bunker requisition form from the vessel, we have 25 the actual requisition from the vessel, we have reading the record may believe that you 25

Page 172 Page 170 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) it says "First Amended Complaint." Do you see 2 2 2013." Do you see that? 3 that in this caption on the side in bold print? 3 A. Um-hum, ves. Yes. 4 Is it your testimony that those 4 5 Q. Directing your attention to page 5 5 terms and conditions formed any part of your and paragraph 17. You answered counsel's 6 contract with O.W. Germany? 6 7 questions about that paragraph 17. Do you 7 No. A. recall that testimony? 8 8 It is your testimony that those 9 A. Yes. 9 terms and conditions did not apply to your sale 10 A copy of the exhibit before you 10 to O.W. Germany for any of these vessels, is does not have a copy of the Exhibit 1 to that that right? 11 11 document, does it? 12 A. That's correct. 12 13 Is there a copy of Exhibit 1 13 It's your understanding as you sit attached to that document? here today that O.W. Germany agrees with you in 14 14 that respect? 15 A. No. 15 16 Q. I'm going to show you a copy of 16 A. 17 Exhibit 1. That is attached to the actual 17 Q. I'm showing you what's been marked document on file, and I will represent as I show as Exhibit 7 sir, or would you get Exhibit 7, 18 18 you that this appears to be a true and accurate you have the originals there in front of you. 19 19 copy of Exhibit 1 to this First Amended Would you please turn to Exhibit 7. 20 20 Complaint. I ask you to take a look at that. 21 Do you have that in front of you? 21 Do you see that the first page 22 A. Yes. 22 23 refers to that ARA contract that you testified 23 That's an email dated 24 about before? 24 September 30th, correct? 25 25 A. Yes. A. Yes. Page 171 Page 173 Norbert Kock (1-19-16) Norbert Kock (1-19-16) 1 1 2 It's your testimony that this page, 2 Q. Lukas Gaus reports to you in your 3 this document, this two-page document has 3 department? 4 nothing to do with the shipments that are the 4 A. That's right, yes. 5 subject of this case to the vessels that we're Now, turning to the second page, 5 talking about here today, is that right? the reverse side of that document which is page 6 6 7 A. This is just on Rotterdam and 7 140. This is the bunker fuel's inquiry? 8 Antwerp here. 8 A. Yes. 9 9 Q. So it has nothing to do with the This is for the SANTA ROBERTA, Q. 10 case here? 10 correct? 11 A. Nothing. 11 A. 12 The same thing is true as I turn to 12 You do not identify the recipients O. the third page which are the marine fuel oil of these inquiries and you explain why, correct? 13 13 terms and conditions, pages 1 of 5 through 5 of That's right, yes. 14 14 Approximately how many persons 15 5. 15 received a copy of this request to bid from 16 Those terms and conditions, the 16 July 2014 terms and conditions in your view did outside of Hapag-Lloyd? 17 17 A. I think we have the bunker 18 not apply here, is that right? 18 19 A. That's right. comparison sheet here in our records as well, 19 20 Q. To any of these ships that we've 20 the names are listed on this sheet. been talking about? 21 21 Q. Would you turn to the bunker comparison for the SANTA ROBERTA, which is -22 That's right. A. 22 23 Thank you. The next page is a 23 I think it was Chemoil, G4. document labeled "O.W. Bunker Group Terms and Hold on one second, let's get that 24 24 Q. 25 Conditions of Sale for Marine Bunkers, Edition in front of you. Look at Exhibit 8 please, the

	Page 174		Page 176
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	second page of that exhibit?	2	A. That means just notes.
3	A. Yes.	3	THE INTERPRETER: Notes, comments.
4	Q. The companies that are listed in	4	Q. In the bottom of the page on the
5	the left-hand column, those are companies	5	right side there is a column that says "Best
6	that	6	Nr," do you see that?
7	A. Have been contacted.	7	A. Yeah.
8	Q. Have been contacted. Is that your	8	Q. And then there's a number, 0208?
9	testimony?	9	A. This is the application for
10	A. Yes.	10	Bestellnummer, which means order number. The
11	Q. Chemoil did not submit a bid?	11	028 is the code of the responsible purchaser in
12	A. Right. They did not offer.	12	our department.
13	Q. What does the term KA mean?	13	Q. What is the number to the right of
14	A. No quote, no offer.	14	that?
15	Q. At the top of that page it says	15	
16	4140, do you see that?	16	$\varepsilon$
17	A. Yes.	17	`
		18	A. This is the purchase order number
18	Q. Then a word in German that begins		which has been produced by our internal SAP
19	with sounding like mineral, do you see that?  A. Yes.	19	system where the order is going into.
20		20	Q. Is this one of the first, if not
21	Q. What does that number mean?	21	the first page where you or Hapag-Lloyd
22	A. 4140 is the organizational number	22	indicates its purchase order number for this
23	of our department. Mineralol	23	transaction?
24	Preisvereinbarungen is a German word for bunker		A. Yes.
25	procurement department or bunker purchasing.	25	Q. You see in the right-hand column
	Page 175		Page 177
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. In the left-hand column the word	2	where it says notes there is the word U.S. Oil,
3	Wahl means choice?	3	right?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. The next column over, excuse my	5	Q. What does that mean to you?
6	pronunciation, Anbieter?	6	A. This is stating the physical
7	A. Seller.	7	supplier of the offering seller.
8	Q. That means seller, okay. The word	8	Q. And Hapag-Lloyd decided that they
9	Verkaufer at the bottom, what does that mean?	9	would choose O.W. Bunker as the seller based
10	A. Seller.	10	both on the price and on the, what you've
11	Q. So are you saying Anbieter and	11	described as the energy factors; is that fair to
12	Verkaufer both mean seller?	12	say?
13	THE INTERPRETER: Anbieter is	13	A. Yes.
14	offerer or seller.	14	Q. And the energy factors are
15	A. And Verkaufer means seller.	15	described in these columns that depict the
16	Q. That reference on this form means	16	specifications of the fuel oil, correct?
17	the seller that you decided would be the seller,	17	A. Yes.
18	correct?	18	Q. Those specifications were provided
19	A. Yes.	19	originally by U.S. Oil, the physical supplier,
20	Q. Below that, the next box in German	20	as far as you know, to O.W. Germany, right?
21	states "Physical Supplier"?	21	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the
22	A. Yes.	22	form.
23	Q. In the right-hand column, on the	23	MR. MALONEY: Objection to the
24	far right side of the page or the second column	24	form.
25	in, Notizen means notes?	25	A. I have no idea.

	Page 178		Page 180
1	-	1	-
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Q. How would any of these offerers	2	A. That's correct. Q. Would you briefly describe for us
3	obtain the typical specs for the physical		
4	suppliers where they are not the physical	4	what your educational background is, sir?
5	supplier, do you have any idea?	5	A. The educational background is I
6	A. Maybe they have an analysis report	6	don't carry any academic grade. I was visiting
7	available or past business done, knowing the	7	the
8	product.	8	THE INTERPRETER: The usual middle
9	Q. And that's what you rely on in	9	school.
10	placing your order?	10	A. Followed by a three-year served
11	A. Yes.	11	course of commercial school in Hamburg, and then
12	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	12	followed by a two years apprenticeship in a
13	MR. HEILIG: Objection.	13	company belonging Philips Electronics at that
14	A. Yes.	14	time, having a degree as an industry assistant
15	Q. Is it fair to say you're relying on	15	manager, I would translate it like this.
16	the quality that the physical supplier is going	16	THE INTERPRETER: I agree with that
17	to provide, correct?	17	translation, yes.
18	MR. MALONEY: Objection to the	18	Q. Now, in September and October of
19	form.	19	2014, how would you describe your excuse me,
20	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the	20	yes, 2014, how would you describe your duties as
21	form.	21	the director of your department, generally?
22	A. I'm relying on the quality the	22	A. To lead a team of purchasers, and
23	responsible seller is providing to me.	23	to ensure the availability of fuels and
24	Q. He's describing to you in these	24	lubricants in the most economic way to our fleet
25	documents, correct?	25	of vessels and chartered vessels.
	Page 179		Page 181
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the	2	Q. For the vessels that you've been
3	form.	3	referring to today, at least the VIENNA EXPRESS,
4	MR. HEILIG: Objection.	4	the SANTA ROBERTA, the SEASPAN HAMBURG and the
5	MR. MALONEY: Objection to the	5	SOFIA EXPRESS, Hapag-Lloyd's agent at the Port
6	form.	6	of Tacoma in October of 2014 was Norton Lilly,
7	A. Yes.	7	correct?
		8	A. Yes.
8		9	MR. FERNANDEZ: Just note my
9	than the energy cost and the price, in deciding	10	objection to the form of the last question.
10	whether to order a delivery from a particular		
11	offerer?	11	Q. Did Hapag-Lloyd rely on Norton
	A NT.	1 ^	Lilly to halp according to the delicery of the
12	A. No.	12	Lilly to help coordinate the delivery of the
13	Q. Ever?	13	fuel to these vessels?
13 14	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the	13 14	fuel to these vessels? A. Yes.
13 14 15	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form.	13 14 15	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes.  Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in
13 14 15 16	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever?	13 14 15 16	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes.  Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?
13 14 15 16 17	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever? Q. Ever? Do you ever do that?	13 14 15 16	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes.  Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever? Q. Ever? Do you ever do that? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	13 14 15 16 17	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes. Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever? Q. Ever? Do you ever do that? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't I can't state this	13 14 15 16 17 18	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes. Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever? Q. Ever? Do you ever do that? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't I can't state this because I'm just the director, I'm not the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes. Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  A. Yes. Q. Do they do that for both owned and
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever? Q. Ever? Do you ever do that? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't I can't state this because I'm just the director, I'm not the purchaser.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes. Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  A. Yes. Q. Do they do that for both owned and chartered vessels of Hapag?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever? Q. Ever? Do you ever do that? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't I can't state this because I'm just the director, I'm not the purchaser. Q. But your purpose is to order bunker	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes. Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  A. Yes. Q. Do they do that for both owned and chartered vessels of Hapag?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever? Q. Ever? Do you ever do that? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't I can't state this because I'm just the director, I'm not the purchaser.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes. Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  A. Yes. Q. Do they do that for both owned and chartered vessels of Hapag?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Ever? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection to the form. A. Ever? Q. Ever? Do you ever do that? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I can't I can't state this because I'm just the director, I'm not the purchaser. Q. But your purpose is to order bunker	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fuel to these vessels?  A. Yes. Q. That's what Norton Lilly does in the usual course for Hapag, correct?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  A. Yes. Q. Do they do that for both owned and chartered vessels of Hapag?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.

	Page 198		Page 200
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2	Q. I'm showing you what's been
3	A. It should have been Captain Grundel	3	produced by your counsel as HPL-USOT page 89,
4	if he was onboard at that time, yes.	4	which appears to be a crew list for the VIENNA
5	Q. According to the crew list he was	5	EXPRESS, which I'm placing before you.
6	the captain?	6	Do you recognize that the name of
7	A. Yes.	7	the chief engineer depicted on that page do
8	Q. At that time, right?	8	you recognize whether the name of the chief
9	A. Yes.	9	engineer is depicted on that page?
10	MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	10	A. It looks like the chief engineer,
11	Q. Is the SOFIA EXPRESS still owned by	11	Marek Sojda, was the responsible chief engineer
12	Hapag-Lloyd?	12	for the vessel at that time, and the signature
13	A. Yes.	13	looks like Sojda. I would agree.
14	Q. Is the VIENNA EXPRESS still owned	14	Q. Other than the document that you've
15	by Hapag-Lloyd?	15	reviewed in Germany and here, the testimony that
16	A. Yes.	16	you described, did you review any diaries or
17		17	
18	,	18	calendar that you may have kept in October of
	Exhibit 32, to the second page which is marked		2014?
19	HPL-USOT 00198. Would you look at the second page please, sir?	19	A. No.
20 21	1 0 1	20	Q. In preparation for your testimony
22	Is that the bunker delivery receipt for the VIENNA EXPRESS?	21 22	today?
			A. No.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. At the time, in October of 2014,
24	Q. Is it your understanding that the	24	did you have a practice of maintaining a
25	chief engineer of the VIENNA EXPRESS signed that	25	notebook or a diary of the work that was going
	Page 199		Page 201
			rage 201
1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)	1	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
1 2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?	1 2	
	Norbert Kock (1-19-16)		Norbert Kock (1-19-16)
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as
2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.	2	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as
2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that	2 3 4	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as
2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.	2 3 4 5	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have
2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that	2 3 4 5 6	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a
2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer. Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. Q. Using the stamp marked there? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?     MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.     A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.     Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?     MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.     Q. Using the stamp marked there?     MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.     MR. MALONEY: Objection.     MR. HEILIG: Objection.     A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.     Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that?     A. Yes.     Q. In the course of your duties as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing stamps of the vessel and Hapag-Lloyd on these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did you have any experience with any seller or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing stamps of the vessel and Hapag-Lloyd on these bunker delivery receipts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. MR. HEILIG: Objection. MR. MALONEY: Objection. A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say? MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection. A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did you have any experience with any seller or supplier claiming that they had a lien against a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) bunker delivery receipt?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. Yeah, it has been signed by the chief engineer.  Q. And the chief engineer signed that on behalf of the vessel?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  Q. Using the stamp marked there?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  A. He signed it on behalf of Hapag-Lloyd.  Q. And it bears the stamp also on the right side, Hapag-Lloyd VIENNA EXPRESS, do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. In the course of your duties as the director of purchasing, you're use to seeing stamps of the vessel and Hapag-Lloyd on these bunker delivery receipts?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Norbert Kock (1-19-16) on in your department? A. No. Q. In the course of your experience as the director of purchasing for Hapag-Lloyd, have you come to learn that a supplier of fuel to a vessel, a Hapag-Lloyd vessel, may have a right to assert a lien against the vessel itself?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  MR. HEILIG: Objection.  MR. MALONEY: Objection.  A. Maybe a seller, but a supplier, no. Q. You've developed some familiarity that a seller might have a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel in some circumstances, is that fair to say?  MR. FERNANDEZ: Objection.  A. I think this is part also of our terms and conditions. Q. Prior to the O.W. bankruptcy, did you have any experience with any seller or supplier claiming that they had a lien against a Hapag-Lloyd vessel for non-payment?